UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,208	09/10/2003	Won-Kyung Seong	45702	3224
Peter L. Kendal	7590 12/24/200 l	EXAMINER		
Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P. Suite 600 1300 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DE 20036			LEE, MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/658,208	SEONG, WON-KYUNG		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	M. Lee	2622		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 3 Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matt	· •		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1,3-8,11-15 and 18 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 3-8, 11-15, 18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/658,208 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Claims 1, 3-8, 11-15 and 18 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of copending Application No. 10/658,545. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-18 are generic to all that is recited in claims 1-16 of the copending application. That is, claims 1-18 are anticipated by claims 1-16 of the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 9/26/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments filed 9/26/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the obviousness double patenting rejection is not based on anticipation rationale because the Examiner stated that the "conflicting claims are not identical." The examiner disagrees. According to MPEP(II)(B)(1), a nonstatutory obviousness type double patenting must be made if the claimed invention is anticipated by the copending application albeit the claims are not the identical. Since the claimed invention is covered or encompassed by the claims of the copending application, the obviousness type double patenting rejection is proper. For instance, the invention as now amended in claim 1 is still met by claims 1 and 2 of the copending

Application/Control Number: 10/658,208 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

application. Since the invention is narrower in claim 1 of the instant application than the claim 1 of the copending application, it cannot be considered as the base invention but an improved invention over the copending application. In order to overcome the obviousness type double patenting rejection, a Terminal Disclaimer must be filed.

Conclusion

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number 571-272-7349. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran, can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,208 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/M. Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622